
Criteria for Tenure: Pillars of Academia

Turgay Korkmaz

CS-UTSA

From many sources and friends... thanks to all...

Official Timeline

- Finish your PhD, and get an academic job in 200X
- Tenure clock starts in Fall 200X
 - Mostly you are your own for 5 years
 - Invest/spend what is needed to make you successful
- Annual merit evaluations
 - Good feedback but not used in tenure evaluation
- End of 3rd year, mid-evaluation (pre-tenure evaluation)
 - Dept. faculty, chair, dean...
 - Early feedback; But termination is a possibility
- End of 5th year, tenure evaluation
 - Reviewers, Dept. faculty, chair, college, dean, university, provost, president
 - **Promote with tenure** or Terminate
 - Now your legal rights!

What should you do?

- Tenure process is like raising your baby.
- When tenure clock starts, you get a baby.
- A lot to do before the baby was born
 - Fill your pipeline with research papers, ideas, etc
 - Be part of a research community
- Much more to do after the baby was born
 - **Research (excellent) - letters**
 - **Teaching (above average)**
 - **Service (average)**
 - Other factors
 - Needs and policies of your University
 - Be collegial, scholar, honest, ethical, respectful, active, effective, ...
 - Make friends (be natural) and fit into your dept.
- Learn what is expected by your department/college
 - Ask chair, senior people
 - Learn previous cases

Research

Are you a good researcher?

- Sure, after all you have PhD!
- But now the questions are: can you
 - do/publish research independently
 - write proposals and obtain external funding
 - lead research teams and advise/graduate students
 - collaborate with other researchers
 - be known by the research community

Excellence in Research

■ Quality of publication

- Publish in *provably* top outlets
- Focus and Depth are important
- Regularly check your citations
 - SCI, Google scholar, ACM/IEEE Digital Library, etc.
- Identity – be part of a community

■ Quantity of publication

- Papers after your PhD
 - Without your advisor
 - With your students, collaborators
- Books, chapters, etc. can wait after tenure (**depending on field**)

■ Funding (**depending on field/area**)

- At least one competitive PI grant
- Another significant grant

Establishing Identity in Research

- Don't be too ambitious. Be realistic.
 - Stay focused
 - Don't change your general direction too much
- Don't be over-optimistic
 - After 3-4 years, you won't have a much better background
 - Look at past, how much you progressed is a good indicator on how much you will
- Focus on 1 major and 1 minor area
 - Give your best to what you do
 - Don't diverge too much
 - You need a name on a certain topic

Writing Papers

- Successful Academicians are Good Writers
- Have a **point**
 - Make sure you have something to say
- The story must have a **flow**
 - Step-by-step (as if you are telling it to your friend)
 - Easy to follow organization
 - Use subsections, bold paragraph heads, some itemize, etc.
- Be clear, use **examples**
 - Readers won't read/understand all the details. Do you understand others?
 - Pictures, graphs
- **Write for the reader; not for yourself**

Papers - Presentation

- **Technical motivation** before introducing technique
 - Intelligent moves that hints & leads to the proposed technique
 - Excite the reader before you introduce the (usually boring) technical stuff
- Provide **intuitions** for technical material
 - **Why** your technique works
 - What things mean in practice, intuition in easy term
 - Math formulas are nice but useless without a story

Papers - Presentation

- Don't oversell - no embarrassing claims.
 - The reviewers are people who (will) know you
- Don't be too humble either
 - You want your paper to be accepted
- Don't prove trivial results in exhaustive detail
- Don't make it too dense
 - Reviewers dislike small fonts, thin margins
 - Short appendix is OK, only for important material
- Not too sparse either
- No passive tense. Use Active ... "We .." who is We?

Papers - Presentation

- List your contributions
 - Don't expect the reader guess it
- Highlight the most impressive results
- Polish well
- Style, appearance, spelling, grammar
 - Reviewers don't like half-baked papers
 - They don't spend time on a paper with spelling errors
- Get feedback, listen them

Papers - Related Work

- A common critics by referees:
 - “compare with ..”
- Give credit to others
 - who might be a reviewer
- Make sure you cover the important work in the area
- Don't just say .. Did this that... give insights, compare, classify...
- Keep the flow going well

Where to Publish

- Aim **provably top** venues.
 - Initially maybe not so good ones
 - but then improve quickly (you need quality)
- Check the “impact factor”
 - An objective criteria
 - Among candidate journals, choose the one with higher impact factor

Test: Same article published in different journals

- After ~2 years, highest-impact journal received 100 times more citations than the lowest one
 - Fit with the journal
-

Where to Publish

- In some fields, conferences are important
 - Even in that case, don't ignore journals
 - Journals may be easier – chance to respond/revise
 - You need 1-2 very high quality papers
 - Identify your best bets and focus on them
 - You will produce some OK ones in the meantime
 - Some reviewers are rude
 - Don't take it personal, that's just the way they are
 - Don't blame if they didn't understand. Make it clearer. If she did, probably someone else also will
 - Don't get discouraged if you get rejected
 - This is how the system works
-

Grants

Funding: Resources

- Identify the right places to send a grant proposal
- Check where people got their grants
 - You can access grant information for your department
- A grant has
 - Principal Investigator, co-investigators, agency, money
- More valuable if PI, or significant co-PI

- Major resources: NSF, NIH

- NSF Career: BEST VALUE
 - Average study time: ~165 hours
 - Everyone works so hard, but you are competing with junior faculty like you

Funding: Resources

- DOE, NASA, ONR, Army Office of Research
 - Fit is important
 - Applied & development
 - Connections help for some cases
 - DOE ECPI, ONR Young Investigator, etc.
- DARPA, DOD
 - Tough for beginners, might have early career programs
- State agencies (fit & connection)
- Special grants for minority, black, ... Institutes
- Institutes, centers (look around)
- Companies (not much money, connection is everything)

NSF

- Find the program for your community
 - Best fit, tough competition
 - Your Career proposal will likely go here
- Interdisciplinary programs (requires collaboration)
 - Career is possible
 - Multiple programs
- Special and emerging programs
 - May fit your research
- Get familiar with NSF website & fastlane

NSF

- Contact your program officer
 - with an impressive research agenda
 - they may help in border cases

- Get yourself invited to a proposal review panel
 - to introduce yourself & learn the system
 - Do a good job there!

A good proposal

- Focused
- Has a story (vision)
- Involves 1 to 3 applications
- Integrated
 - research & application; theory & practice
- Shows preliminary results
- Still has things to do (believable plan)
- Takes a lot of time to write
 - polished, has smooth flow

A good proposal

- NSF wants an education plan
 - Writing a good education section is simple
 - Read previous proposals, you will get better ideas
 - A good education plan won't earn you the grant, but a bad one will kill your chances
- Diversity

Try-try

It is an ART

Common Critics to Proposals

Vision vs. Focus

- “No ambition”
- “Too narrow”
- “Too ambitious”
- “Too broad”
- “No integration between proposed tasks”
- “Problems/goals are not clear”

Application

- Low impact potential, broader impact is not clear
- Boring area –revise it with a more appealing application (using the same technical material)
- No connection between theory and practice

Organization

- Not well-organized (not enough time spent)

Common Critics to Proposals

- No clear **Research Plan** (tasks are not clear)
- No timeline
- No preliminary results
- No evaluation plan
- No validation of results, no success measures
- No technical challenge
- Related projects not cited/understood
- How the proposed approach relates & advances the state-of-the-art
- Already done
- PI credential & publication in the area is weak
- No involvement of minorities
- No novelty in education plan (in NSF CAREER)
- No clear dissemination plans. Who/how will use your results?

Advise / Graduate Students

This is also part of your teaching.

Mentoring

- Find “very good” students as early as possible
 - Be proactive. Don’t wait students come to you
 - Make sure he/she is really good
 - Aim for PhD by the end of the 5th year.
- The roles of an advisor include:
 - Provide guidance, but also give freedom
 - Help your students to be part of research community
 - Find financial support
 - Help your students even after graduation
- Mentor other PhD students, have them pass candidacy, graduate MS students, etc.

Advice to Advisor

- Relate the grad students as individuals
- Not as anonymous research assistants or tickets to tenure
- Work with all and value their efforts
- Know your students personally and professionally
- Don't forget, you were a grad student once upon a time!



So
don't rely on students
or expect too much

Interacting With Students

- We all have different styles
- Regular meetings and informal meetings
- Long-term and short-term goals
- Win-win situation
 - Topics you both are interested in
- Give constructive feedback
- Gender differences
 - Process-oriented vs Goal-oriented
 - Men are from Mars, Women are from Venus by John Gray

Collaborations

Collaborations

- Work with people
 - Complementing each other
 - Don't change your area too much
- Work with hard working people with funding success
 - Avoid lazy ones with no prior funding
- If something sounds promising
 - Work hard to fully develop it, and present a fully thought story
- If something doesn't sound promising
 - It probably isn't, don't jump on all the collaboration possibilities
- Don't jump to a completely new area
 - Start new things only if you can reuse some of your old materials where you have some credibility

Collaborations

- Don't be over-protective of your work
 - Telling your soon-to-be-published ideas may be good for networking
 - Prefer your published work, if strong enough
- Interdisciplinary research can be rewarding
 - if you know what you are doing, or if you know how to sell it
 - otherwise, it is time-consuming and doesn't attract too much attention from your peers
 - Do it if you think you can bring money.
 - A hot field does not necessarily bring you money. It is hot for everyone.

Informal Mentor

- Find a mentor that you can talk
- A good mentor
 - Positive-minded
 - Helpful
 - Has high opinion on your research
- Don't ruin his/her opinion on your research
- Be professional, natural, don't go over-board (not "too too" close)

Recommendation Letters

How your community sees you?

- Letters are extremely important
 - You need good letter writers: Plan ahead!
- You will provide 6-8 names, your department will come up with 6-8 names (references from your papers)
- Prepare a list of 10 candidate names now
 - in your area
 - know your work and/or you or your previous advisor
 - comparable or better universities
- Networking networking

Networking

- Know Candidates for Letter Writers
 - Read their papers, web pages, attend their talks, ask questions during/after talk, request information
- Make yourself known
 - Do good research, give good talks, publish in good places, prepare up-to-date web pages, send e-mails
- Interact with people and their contacts
 - It's OK to talk about your research/yourself: This is how it works!
 - Don't be too pushy but don't be shy either
- Be ready for **“So what are you working on?”**

Networking (cont'd)

- Exchange manuscripts white papers (if natural)
- In 4th & 5th year, attend more conferences
 - Tenure evaluation starts end of 5th year
- Meet & discuss in a conference
- Follow-up by sending emails
- Invite them to your school
 - Distinguished speaker talks
- Provide services to your community
 - Review papers, volunteer for conf.

Teaching

Classroom Teaching

- Student teaching evaluation scores
 - Important, the only solid criteria
 - If you get bad scores, seek help
 - Must improve over time
 - Above average is fine
- Two independent faculty evaluation
- Curriculum development
 - Find simple/effective ways to extend the curriculum
 - Don't over-spend your time
 - Focus on improving teaching evaluations on the same set of courses
 - Offer a few different courses, special topic courses
- Read a book on how to teach

Advise/mentor students

- Discussed in research part
- Publications with students is important
- Offer independent studies
- Organize weekly seminars

Service

Internal Service

- You will be a member in various committees
 - Not much work
 - If you do what you are asked to do, you are fine
- Attend all your committee meetings
 - On time, responsible
- Be proactive in something (not all things)
- Faculty meetings
 - Don't jump on every issue
 - Learn the culture in your dept

External service

- Do what will benefit you most (for getting letters!)
- Be committee member or reviewer in top conferences, journals, NSF Proposal review panels
 - Credibility
 - Visibility
 - Experience and knowledge
 - Good contact/reference list
- Community service is valued
 - K-12 education
 - Social services

**Time to submit your
tenure case**

What to submit?

- You will need many things
 - Recomm letters, Resume, papers, proposals, reviews, letters from students, class notes, exams, student evaluations, projects, letters from Editors, Prog. Mng. etc. ...
 - So keep them organized (possibly online)
- You will write a self-evaluation or summary
 - defend your case by emphasizing your achievements
 - explain how you addressed concerns in 3rd year evaluation or early failures in teaching etc.
 - State your plans for future

How do they evaluate?

- How do you accept or reject a paper?
- Look at it **overall**, then justify your decision!
- Most cases are in a grey area, so
 - They look at the overall case and other factors
 - Then they see how they want to see
 - Be careful when you include or say something
 - If they want, they can use it against or for you
- Your department (evaluators) are not monsters
 - They want you to get tenure
 - They hired you, your success is theirs
 - So help them

General Advices

General Advices

- Focused studying
 - To develop a good idea, you don't need much time, you need quality time
 - Too many distractions → non-productivity
- Don't overload yourself with unnecessary work
 - Prioritize, it's easy to postpone (and never do) research
- Ignore email, no surfing
- Read papers + form paper reading groups/seminars
 - It's how you usually come up with ideas
 - You will learn the common style

General Advices

- Always have a bigger vision
- Don't depend too much on others
 - Advisor, collaborators, students..
- Do a good job on your own
 - Leadership
- Enjoy what you do
 - Don't do what you don't enjoy
 - Good for motivation/productivity – no well-defined tasks

General Advices

- Document everything that is important to you
 - Paper reviews
 - Community/public service
 - Advising and other voluntary work
- Communicate in written form or in e-mail for all your important issues and keep your e-mails

General Advices

- Have a healthy personal & social life!
 - Read other books
- No stress. Please.
 - This is supposed to be an enjoyable job.
- Know your priorities
 - Learn how to say 'NO!'
- Don't overwork, don't overload yourself
 - 5 years of normal work should suffice for tenure
 - Workaholic
- Plus
 - Getting tenure is not everything

Final Words

- These were just some informal ideas that might help you better shape your thoughts
 - What works for someone may not work for you
- We all learn by personal experience
- Follow your instincts, common-sense
 - No advice is better than them