

Discrete Mathematical Structures

CS 3233 Lecture Three

Prof. William Winsborough

August 29 and 31, 2005

Proving Equivalences By Using Truth Tables

- Example 3 in Section 1.2 of text:

| p | q | $p \rightarrow q$ |
|---|---|-------------------|
| T | T | T |
| T | F | F |
| F | T | T |
| F | F | T |

| p | q | $\neg q$ | $p \vee \neg q$ |
|---|---|----------|-----------------|
| T | T | F | T |
| T | F | T | F |
| F | T | F | T |
| F | F | T | T |

Some Important Logical Equivalences (with Greek)

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| $\phi \wedge T \equiv \phi$ $\phi \vee F \equiv \phi$ | Identity laws |
| $\phi \vee T \equiv T$ $\phi \wedge F \equiv F$ | Domination laws |
| $\phi \vee \phi \equiv \phi$ $\phi \wedge \phi \equiv \phi$ | Idempotency laws |
| $\neg(\neg\phi) \equiv \phi$ | Double negation law |
| $\phi_1 \vee \phi_2 \equiv \phi_2 \vee \phi_1$ $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \equiv \phi_2 \wedge \phi_1$ | Commutativity laws |

More Equivalences

| | |
|---|---------------------|
| $(\phi_1 \vee \phi_2) \vee \phi_3 \equiv \phi_1 \vee (\phi_2 \vee \phi_3)$ $(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \wedge \phi_3 \equiv \phi_1 \wedge (\phi_2 \wedge \phi_3)$ | Associativity laws |
| $\phi_1 \vee (\phi_2 \wedge \phi_3) \equiv (\phi_1 \vee \phi_2) \wedge (\phi_1 \vee \phi_3)$ $\phi_1 \wedge (\phi_2 \vee \phi_3) \equiv (\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \vee (\phi_1 \wedge \phi_3)$ | Distributivity laws |
| $\neg(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \equiv \neg\phi_1 \vee \neg\phi_2$ $\neg(\phi_1 \vee \phi_2) \equiv \neg\phi_1 \wedge \neg\phi_2$ | De Morgan's laws |
| $\phi_1 \vee (\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \equiv \phi_1$ $\phi_1 \wedge (\phi_1 \vee \phi_2) \equiv \phi_1$ | Absorption laws |
| $\phi_1 \vee \neg\phi_1 \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $\phi_1 \wedge \neg\phi_1 \equiv \mathbf{F}$ | Negation laws |

Proofs Based on Known Equivalences

- See Example 5 in Section 1.2 of text
- Note that when the text uses $\neg p \wedge p \equiv F$ this is really two steps, one using commutativity and one using the second negation law