

## Discrete Mathematical Structures CS 3233 Lecture Four

Prof. William Winsborough  
September 4, 2007

## Business

- Questions???
- Kallen (our TA) will be available by appointment after class on Tuesday and Thursday
  - Arrange appointment with her at class time.
- Read Section 1.4 by Thursday
- Recall: Homework due Thursday September 6
  - Section 1.2: 2, 6, 10, 12
  - Section 1.3: 10d, 10e, 14, 24c, 24d, 32a, 32b, 44

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

2

## Section 1.3: Predicates

- Propositional functions
- Examples
  - $p(x) \equiv x > 3$
  - $q(y) \equiv y$  has paid his tuition
  - $r(z) \equiv z$  has wireless access on campus
- $p(4)$  has a truth value
- What does  $r(x) \rightarrow q(x)$  mean?

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

3

## Predicates, Continued

- Each propositional function takes a fixed number of arguments
  - $\text{older}(x,y) \equiv x$  is older than  $y$
  - Here “older” is being used as a propositional function
- A propositional function  $p$  and a predicate  $p$  are the same thing
- A statement of the form  $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is a proposition

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

4

## Formulas Involving Predicates

- Let  $\phi(y) \equiv q(y) \rightarrow r(y)$ 
  - We say  $\phi$  is a *formula in*  $y$  (not in text)
- Then  $\phi(\text{Fred}) \equiv q(\text{Fred}) \rightarrow r(\text{Fred})$ 
  - This is an example of substitution of variables by values

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

5

## Universe of Discourse and Quantifiers

- The *universe of discourse* or *domain* is the set of all possible values for variables
- We can refer to values in the universe either by using constant symbols (like “Fred”) or by using quantifiers
- There are two quantifiers in standard predicate calculus: *for all* ( $\forall$ ) and *there exists* ( $\exists$ )
- They are called the universal quantifier and the existential quantifier, respectively

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

6

## Universal Quantifiers

- The universal quantification of  $p(x)$  is the following proposition:
  - “ $p(x)$  is true for all values of  $x$  in the universe of discourse”
  - Written  $\forall x p(x)$  or  $\forall x.p(x)$
- Similarly, if  $\phi(x)$  is a formula in  $x$ ,  $\forall x.\phi(x)$  means the formula holds for all elements of the universe
  - What does  $\forall x.(r(x) \rightarrow q(x))$  mean?
  - How is it different from  $(\forall x.r(x)) \rightarrow (\forall x.q(x))$  ?

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

7

## Universal Quantifiers

- Note that  $\forall x.p(x) \equiv \forall y.p(y)$
- If the universe of discourse is  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ , then  $\forall x.p(x) \equiv p(0) \wedge p(1) \wedge p(2)$
- Can you always rewrite  $\forall x.p(x)$  this way?
  - What if the universe of discourse is infinite?
  - Logical statements are finite objects

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

8

## Existential Quantifiers

- The existential quantification of  $p(x)$  is the following proposition:
  - “there exists an element  $x$  in the universe of discourse such that  $p(x)$  is true”
  - Written  $\exists x p(x)$  or  $\exists x.p(x)$
  - What does  $\exists x.(r(x) \wedge \neg q(x))$  mean?
- If the universe of discourse is  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ , then
  - $\exists x.p(x) \equiv p(0) \vee p(1) \vee p(2)$

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

9

## Scope of Quantifiers

- $(\exists x.x > 3) \wedge (\exists x.x < 1)$
- The scope of a quantifier is the formula following the dot

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

10

## Negations of Quantified Formulas

- De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers
  - $\neg \exists x.p(x) \equiv \forall x.\neg p(x)$
  - $\neg \forall x.p(x) \equiv \exists x.\neg p(x)$

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

11

## Predicate Formulas as Specifications

- For the domain of integers, is  $\forall x.(x > 3)$  true or false?
  - How about  $\exists x.(x > 3)$  ?
  - Note: “ $>$ ” is the predicate symbol here
  - $X > 3$  is another way of writing  $>(x, 3)$
- What does  $\forall x \forall y.(x + y = y + x)$  mean?
  - Is it true?
  - What is the predicate here?
  - In logic, “+” is called a *function symbol* (term not introduced in the text)

4 September 2007

Winsborough CS 3233 Lecture 4

12